

Carol Le Quesne

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 January 2007 15:51
To: Scrutiny
Subject: Mobile phone masts



[REDACTED]
(266 B)

This e-mail has been received directly from the Internet: you should exercise a degree of caution since there can be no guarantee that the source or content of the message is authentic.

If you receive inappropriate e-mail from an external source it is your responsibility to notify Computer Services Helpdesk (telephone 440440).

The Full States e-mail Usage Policy can be found here:
http://intranet1/aware/internet_email_issues.htm

Please see the following email I sent to Senator Cohen.

I also asked him to consider the following:

1. Existing JT telephone masts should be tested as soon as possible. We cannot assume that they conform to any standards. They have been in place for many years.
2. No survey is being conducted into cancer cases in the Island to establish if there are clusters building up around masts and/or radon hotspots. This could be coordinated by the person who coordinates cancer treatments with UK and Jersey hospitals. I can provide the name of this person if necessary. The Data Protection Act would protect people's names and personal details but length of occupancy would have to be included in the equation.
3. Masts are being/have been installed on buildings where people work all day, and closer than any worldwide guidelines recommend.
4. I live 30 metres from a JT phone mast. I am having thyroid and adrenal problems and am being treated by a UK specialist. My neighbour has similar problems but her thyroid was cancerous until it was removed two days ago.
5. Tests on the effects of mobile masts are on healthy tissue - and presumably against one mast. Show me tests that involve 200 masts on 45 square miles with a population of around 100,000.
6. No matter what the findings are in your report, the States have committed to Cable & Wireless and Airtel (and one other company?). If the States back out now, there would be a massive financial penalty, so they have a financial interest in finding that there is no danger to public health from phone masts.
7. There are rumours that the Island's network of masts is intended as some sort of "staging post" between mainland UK and the rest of Europe. If this is true, then the whole mast issue is not about the people of Jersey at all.
8. There needs to be a map of all masts (police, phone and radio) which is freely accessible to all Islanders. It cannot be that secret because Planning were quick to point out the Tetra masts around their office when I complained of a pressure in my head while I was in their office and asked if there were masts nearby.

I shall attend the Scutiny meeting in St Peter on Friday as I understand that a UK expert will be there.

Yours sincerely
[REDACTED]

----- Message from

at 2006-11-19 11:01:41

><http://www.safewireless.org/>

>
>Please take a look at this site. Although it is mostly about mobile
>phones themselves, you should find this of interest.

>
>I live 30 metres from a JT phone mast (hidden in the La Rocque
>telephone box), there is a mast on top of the building I work in and I
>am surrounded by wi-fi at work - so there is no escape. I am currently
>being treated for thyroid and adrenal problems and tests are ongoing.
>My neighbour is having to have her thyroid removed because it is
>producing growths and is having her pituitary gland investigated. Even
>this week I heard of a person on Gorey Hill whose dog has developed
>lung cancer (it's owners do not smoke) and the owner of the dog has
>developed cancer of the neck and side of his face.

>
>I have a device which can measure electro-magnetic smog and it is
>showing that the frequencies entering my home are very high - although
>my machine hardly registers anything just a couple of houses down La
>Rue du Pont. The States should re-evaluate levels on all existing
>masts!

>
>You must not be fooled by research which is paid for by phone companies
>- if you take a look at the above site, you will see that research by
>said companies is many more times likely to be pro mobile phones.

>
>I feel that the States will let through all 200 masts no matter what
>objections or research arises.

>
>Research is only based on the damage caused by one mast - where is the
>research into the effects of 200 masts on 45 square miles?!!

>
>Disease is caused by many variables and electro-magnetic overload can
>tip the balance between low immune system and ill-health. The States
>are storing up an enormous problem for the future and a vibrationally
>toxic Island is hardly an image that the tourist industry will welcome.

>
>Kind regards.

>
>
>